

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Minor corrections have been made to the specification.

Claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Summers et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0170352. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Independent claim 1 has been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer, but Applicant reserves the right to file a continuation application containing independent claim 1. Dependent claims 2 and 3 have been made directly or indirectly dependent upon claim 4.

Claims 4 and 5 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but were stated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Reconsideration of the objection is respectfully requested.

Claims 4 and 5 have been rewritten in independent form, as suggested by the Examiner, incorporating all of the features of base claim 1, there being no intervening claims, thus making claims 4 and 5 now allowable. Since claims 2 and 3 are now directly or indirectly dependent upon allowable claim 4, they are also allowable.

New claims 9 and 10 have been added, reproducing claims 2 and 3, respectively, except that claim 9 is dependent on claim 5, and claim 10 is dependent on claim 9. Since new claims 9 and 10 are directly or indirectly dependent upon independent claim 5, they are allowable, since independent claim 5 is now allowable.

Claims 6-8 were stated to be allowed. However, it is respectfully submitted that, contrary to the Examiner's statement, claim 6 is not independent, (see Office Action, page 3), nor is claim 7 independent, both being dependent on claim 1. Since claim 1 has been canceled, without prejudice or disclaimer, herein, the features of claim 1 have been incorporated into both of now independent claims 6 and 7. Minor corrections were made to claim 6 so that at least one radiation reflecting element is claimed throughout. Minor corrections were made to claim 7 so that a radiation reflecting element is claimed throughout and so that a photonic element is claimed throughout. Since claims 6 and 7 should now be allowable, claim 8, which is dependent on claim 7, should also be allowable.

In view of the foregoing remarks, the allowance of claims 2-10 is respectfully requested.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May 25, 2005:

Max Moskowitz


Name of applicant, assignee or
Registered Representative

Signature

May 25, 2005

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,



Max Moskowitz
Registration No.: 30,576
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700

RCF/MIM:lac